



TUVALU SHIP REGISTRY

Singapore Operations Office:
10 Anson Road #25-16, International Plaza, Singapore 079903
Tel: (65) 6224 2345 Fax: (65) 6227 2345
Email: info@tvship.com Website: www.tvship.com

MARINE CIRCULAR 9-2005

February 2005

FOR: Shipowners, Classification Societies, Ship Masters and the Shipping Community

SUBJECT: International Safety Management (ISM) Code

References:

- (a) ISM Code [IMO Resolution A.741(18)]
- (b) ISM Code 2000 Amendments [IMO Resolution MSC.104(73)]
- (c) SOLAS 74 Chapter IX, Management for the Safe Operation of Ships
- (d) Revised IMO Guidelines on the Implementation of the ISM Code by Administrations [IMO Resolution A.913 (22)]
- (e) Agreement and Guidelines Governing the Delegation of ISM Code Verification and Certification, July 1, 1996
- (f) IMO Assembly Resolution A.443 (XI)
- (g) IMO MSC/Circ.443

Purpose:

This Notice advises and provides guidelines to owners, operators, and masters of Tuvalu flag ships concerning the Administration's requirements for compliance with the ISM Code. These guidelines provide the Tuvalu National requirements for Companies and vessels seeking ISM Code certification. They also contain the Administration's policies and interpretations regarding application and implementation of the ISM Code.

National requirements are not intended to be all-inclusive or to prohibit a Company from incorporating or requiring items in its Safety Management System (SMS) beyond those contained in these guidelines.

Applicability:

This Notice is applicable to passenger ships (including high speed craft), cargo vessels of 500 gross tonnage and over (including cargo high speed craft), and self-propelled MODUs of 500 gross tonnage and over on international voyages.

REQUIREMENTS:

1.0 Mandatory Compliance

The requirements of the ISM Code are mandatory under SOLAS Chapter IX.

1.1 Exceptions

1.1.1 SOLAS Chapter IX does not apply to:

- 1 government-operated ships used for non-commercial purposes;
- 2 cargo ships of less than 500 gross tonnage as measured by the Administration or the ITC 69, whichever is the lesser;
- 3 ships not propelled by mechanical means;
- 4 wooden craft of primitive origins;
- 5 private pleasure yachts not engaged in trade; and
- 6 fishing vessels.

1.1.2 FPSOs

An FPSO will be exempt from ISM code compliance if:

- 1 it has had its propulsion systems removed or disabled, or
- 2 if the propulsion system is functional, the FPSO is on a fixed station for an extended period of time, and the propulsion equipment will only be used in case of emergency or to reposition or service the vessel under a single voyage exemption with no cargo on board.

1.1.3 Dynamically Positioned (DP) Units

- 1 A unit that is constructed and classed as a Self-Propelled MODU will be considered as a Non-Self-Propelled MODU for purpose of the ISM Code if the propulsion machinery is only utilized to maintain unit position, for short field moves, or to provide assistance while being towed to or from a site.
- 2 A short field move is the on location repositioning of a unit, up to 20 miles in distance or 8 hours in duration, under the cognizance of an STCW Convention licensed Master or Mate.
- 3 In order to receive this Non-Self-Propelled status, the unit operator must make written application to the Administration and confirm that the propulsion machinery will only be used in the modes noted above.

1.2 Compliance Monitoring

Compliance with the Code will be closely monitored and enforced by the Administration. Ships operated by Companies that fail to comply with the ISM Code will be considered in violation of SOLAS and may be prevented from trading.

1.3 Compliance Process

Companies should start the ISM Code compliance process at the earliest possible date prior to entering into active trading. Depending on the complexity of the Company and the number and types of ships involved, the development, implementation and verification process could take 18 months or longer.

2.0 ISM Code Enforcement Authority

2.1 Responsibility

The Administration is responsible for effective enforcement of the provisions of the ISM Code. IMO Resolution A.739(18), "Guidelines for the Authorization of Recognized Organizations (RO) acting on behalf of the Administrations", allows Administrations to delegate to ROs the responsibility for verifying compliance with the ISM Code and for issuing Documents of Compliance (DOC) and Safety Management Certificates (SMC) on behalf of the Administration.

2.2 Delegation

The Government of Tuvalu has delegated by written agreement to certain Classification Societies the authority to verify compliance with the ISM Code and issue ISM Code documents on behalf of the Government of Tuvalu. A list of the authorized ROs for the purposes of ISM Code verifications is included as Annex 1 to this Notice.

2.3 Administration Rights

The Administration retains the right to conduct audits, assessments and inspection activities independent of or in concert with those of an RO in order to verify proper implementation, application, and enforcement of the provisions of the ISM Code.

2.4 Separation of Functions

2.4.1 In those instances where an RO provides both consulting and auditing services for ISM Code Certifications, the RO shall ensure the independence of these two (2) functions. Auditors must be free from bias and influences, which could affect objectivity. There must be a demonstrable and proven separation between personnel providing consultancy and those providing the services to the same company.

2.4.2 An RO may provide ISM Code verification services to vessels for which the RO also provides ship statutory certification services, provided, the ship safety management audits and assessments are conducted separately, and in addition to, existing ship statutory certification and classification survey functions. The verification of compliance with mandatory rules and regulations, which is part of the ISM Code certification, neither duplicates nor substitutes for surveys for other certificates. The verification of compliance with the ISM Code does not relieve the Company, the Master or any other entity or person involved in the management or operation of the ship of their responsibilities.

3.0 National Requirements for an SMS by ISM Code Element

3.1 General

3.1.1 Every Company must develop, implement, and maintain an SMS in accordance with the requirements of the ISM Code. Failure to do so will be considered a violation of SOLAS Chapter IX, and the Company's ships shall be prevented from trading.

3.1.2 The following publications provide some guidelines:

- 1 "Guidelines on the Application of the ISM Code" produced by the International Chamber of Shipping.
- 2 "Procedural Requirements for ISM Code Certification" produced by the International Association of Classification Societies.
- 3 "Procedures for the Control of Operational Requirements related to the Safety of Vessels and Pollution Prevention" [IMO Resolution A.742(18)].
- 4 "Guidelines on the Implementation of the ISM Code by Administrations" [IMO Resolution A.913(22)], and the Annex to those Guidelines entitled, "Revised Standards on ISM Code Certification Arrangements."

3.1.3 The publications listed above describe items to be addressed by a Company's SMS and provide guidelines for managing and preparing for ISM Code audits. IMO publications can be obtained from IMO, Publications Section, 4 Albert Embankment, London SE1 7SR, United Kingdom.

3.1.3 The Administration's policies regarding safe management and operation of ships and protection of the environment should be incorporated into the Company and shipboard SMS.

3.2 Safety, Security and Environmental Protection Policy

The safety, security and environmental protection policies required by the ISM Code must be signed by the Company's Chief Executive or other senior executive officer, and should be reviewed at regular intervals to ensure that they remain likely to achieve the objectives of the ISM Code.

3.3 Company Responsibilities and Authority

3.3.1 The term "Company" means the owner of the ship or any other organization or person such as the Manager, or the Bareboat Charterer, who has assumed the responsibility for operation of the ship from the Shipowner and who on assuming such responsibility has agreed in writing to take over all the duties and responsibilities imposed by the Code. The owner of each vessel must provide the Office of the Maritime Administrator, Marine Safety, with the name, address, telephone and facsimile numbers and e-mail address of the Company responsible for the operation of the vessel.

3.3.2 If the organization or person responsible for the operation of a ship is other than the owner, the owner must provide the Administration with the full name of such entity and submit details, which establish that entity as the Company to the satisfaction of the Administration, which establish the entity's responsibility as the Company.

3.4 Designated Person(s)

In accordance with the ISM Code the Company must designate a person or persons who will be responsible for monitoring and verifying proper operation of the SMS within the Company and on each ship. The designated person(s) should have the independence and authority to report deficiencies observed to the highest level of management (i.e., the final decision maker regarding shoreside management and support and vessel operation and safety). The Company must provide the Administration with the full name of the designated person(s) and information to enable direct and immediate contact at all times between the Administration and the designated person(s) with regard to matters relating to maritime safety, security and protection of the marine environment. The Company is requested to use form, Declaration of Designated Person(s).

3.5 Master's Responsibility and Authority

- 3.5.1 The Tuvalu Merchant Shipping Act expressly prescribes to the specific Rights and Duties of the Master. The Administration also acknowledges the importance of IMO Resolution A.443(XI), "Decisions of the Shipmaster with regard to Maritime Safety and Marine Environment Protection." The SMS should incorporate the elements of A.443(XI) .
- 3.5.2 Any system of operational control implemented by Company shore based management must allow for the Master's absolute authority and discretion to take whatever action he or she considers to be in the best interest of passengers, crew, cargo, the vessel and the marine environment.
- 3.5.3 The Company should provide the Master with documentation of the specific duties delegated to the officers under the Master's command.

3.6 Resources and Personnel

- 3.6.1 Company training, hiring, manning procedures, terms of employment, personnel record keeping and reporting procedures must be consistent with the requirements of STCW and Tuvalu Merchant Shipping Regulations to ensure the use of competent qualified personnel.
- 3.6.2 The Company SMS should ensure that joining crew members have proper Tuvalu seafarers' certification including licenses, special qualification certificates, seafarer's identification and record books and training as required by international conventions, the Tuvalu Merchant Shipping Act, the Tuvalu Merchant Shipping Regulations.
- 3.6.3 The shipboard SMS should include procedures for the transfer of command, documented hand-over notes, documented vessel and duties introductions, familiarization training in accordance with Section A-I/6 of the STCW Code for on-coming officers and crew, and on board documentation retention.

3.7 Development of Plans for Shipboard Operations

- 3.7.1 "Master's Port Arrival/Departure Safety Check List" should be included in the shipboard SMS incorporating pre-established Company policy guidelines for "Go, No Go" situations and reporting requirements for the Master's compliance.

3.7.2 The ship's operations documentation should include a statement that its contents do not remove the Master's authority to take such steps and issue any orders, whether or not they are in accordance with the contents of the documentation, which the Master considers to be necessary for the preservation of life, the safety and security of the vessel and the protection of the marine environment.

3.7.3 The ship's operations plans should incorporate for weekly emergency drills and training sessions.

3.8 Emergency Preparedness

3.8.1 The Company SMS must provide that statutory, Administration, or Company required emergency preparedness plans are developed, implemented, periodically reviewed and updated, and if necessary, re-approved by the Administration or an RO on its behalf.

3.8.2 The Company SMS must consider measures to enhance the safety and security of the ship through the implementation of a Ship Security Plan as guidelineed in MSC/Circ.443 and related MSC Circulars.

3.9 Reports and Analysis of Nonconformities, Accidents and Hazardous Occurrences

3.9.1 The shipboard SMS procedures should include reporting near accidents, accidents and incidents and require the immediate notice and reporting of incidents to the Administration and establishes duties and responsibilities for the Company, ship officers and crew.

3.9.2 The Company SMS should include Rules for Marine Investigations and Hearing.

3.9.3 The Company SMS should incorporate accident prevention and appointment of a safety officer or committee.

3.9.4 The Company and shipboard SMS should contain procedures for immediately reporting port State detentions, oil spills and any incident, which may have the potential for an oil spill.

3.9.5

3.10 Maintenance of the Ship and Equipment

3.10.1 The maintenance system established by the Company and documented in its SMS should include systematic plans and actions designed to address all those items and systems covered by class and statutory survey and to ensure that the vessel's condition is satisfactorily maintained at all times.

3.10.3 The Company SMS should also provide for the logging of actions or measures taken to rectify deficiencies and nonconformities noted during surveys and annual safety inspections and the giving of notification to the Administration and the designated RO of the corrective actions taken.

3.11 Documentation

Documents should be easily identified, traceable, user friendly and not so voluminous as to hinder the effectiveness of the SMS. They should be readily available for review by the RO, representatives of the Administration and port State control authorities.

3.12 Company Verification, Review and Evaluation

The Company must conduct internal audits shoreside and on each ship at least annually to determine whether the various elements of the Company SMS have been fully implemented and are effective in achieving the stated objectives of the Code. The internal audits are in addition to the annual, intermediate, and renewal audits carried out by the RO.

4.0 Selection of a Recognized Organization

4.1 Delegation

The Government of Tuvalu has delegated to certain Classification Societies the authority to act as Recognized Organizations (ROs) for verifying compliance with the ISM Code and issuance of Code documents on its behalf. A list of the designated ROs for the purposes of ISM Code verifications may be found in Annex 1 to this Marine Circular.

4.2 Company Choice

4.2.1 Companies may choose any of the authorized ROs to conduct safety management audits of the Company and its ships. Choice of an RO is not restricted by the nationality of the ship's owner/operator, location of a Company's offices or by the Classification Society that classed the ships or issued the statutory certificates. Once chosen, however, the Company must abide by the terms of service set by the RO, provided, however, that they are not less than the minimum standards set by the ISM Code. The Company is responsible for arranging the safety management audits with an RO.

4.2.2 Although the Administration's criterion upon which an RO agrees to provide ISM Code verification services to a Company does not require that the RO has classed or will be classing the vessels owned or operated by the Company, an RO shall not be prohibited from establishing its own requirements for services in relation to classification, provided there are no negative effects upon compliance with the ISM Code.

4.3 Multi-Class Fleets

For a Company operating a fleet with vessels individually classed by one (1) or more recognized Classification Societies, a single RO may, if requested by the Company, act as sole assessor in performing the verification audit and certification of the SMS for the Company and all of its vessels. The RO does not have to be the Classification Society for any of the vessels in the fleet. ROs may establish their own service requirements, provided they do not negatively affect compliance with the ISM Code.

4.4 Multi-Flag Fleets

In order to facilitate the auditing and certification process, Companies operating multi-flag fleets should propose a plan of action and request agreements by all involved flag states regarding the joint acceptance of an RO audit prior to the commencement of the audits. The plan should clearly identify the entities involved, outline how the audit will be conducted, how each flag State's National requirements will be addressed, and who will be issuing the ISM Code documents.

5.0 Verification of Compliance and Issuance of ISM Code Documents

5.1 Application for Certification

- 5.1.1 After developing and implementing the SMS, the Company must contact an RO to arrange for an initial verification audit and certification of the Company and its vessels. The Company's application for certification to the RO, and the relevant information, must include the size and total number of each ship type covered by the SMS and any other documentation considered necessary. Ships carrying dry cargo in bulk but which do not meet the SOLAS Chapter IX definition for a "Bulk Carrier" are to be typed as "Other Cargo Ships."
- 5.3.2 Companies operating multi-flag fleets desiring Tuvalu acceptance of ISM Code audits conducted on behalf of another flag State must propose a plan of action regarding joint acceptance of the ISM Code audits by the involved flag states. The proposed plan should clearly identify the entities involved, outline how the audits will be conducted, and how each flag State's National requirements will be addressed. The proposed plan should be submitted to all involved flag States requesting their agreement to the plan for joint acceptance of the audits in order to facilitate the auditing and certification process.

5.2 Initial verification

- 5.2.1 The initial verification for issuing a DOC to a Company consists of the following steps:

1 Document Review – The RO is to verify that the SMS and any relevant documentation comply with the requirements of the ISM Code, by reviewing the safety management manual. If this review reveals that the system is not adequate, the RO may delay auditing until the Company undertakes corrective action. Amendments made to the system documentation to correct deficiencies identified during this review may be verified remotely or during the subsequent initial audit described in .2 below.

2 Company initial audit - in order to verify the effective functioning of the SMS, including objective evidence that the Company's SMS has been in operation for at least three (3) months, and at least three (3) months on board at least one (1) ship of each type operated by the Company. The objective evidence is to *inter alia*, include records from the internal audits performed by the Company, ashore and on board, examining and verifying the correctness of the statutory and classification records for at least one ship of each type operated by the Company.

- 5.2.2 The initial verification for issuing an SMC to a ship consists of the following steps:

- 1 verification that the Company DOC is valid and relevant to that type of ship, and that the other provisions of paragraph 7.3.3 are complied with. Only after on board confirmation of the existence of a valid DOC can the verification proceed; and
- 2 verification of the effective functioning of the SMS, including objective evidence that the SMS has been in operation for at least three (3) months on board the ship. The objective evidence should also include records from the internal audits performed by the Company.

- 5.2.3 If the Company already has a valid DOC issued by another RO, that DOC shall be accepted as evidence of compliance with the ISM Code, unless there is objective evidence indicating otherwise.

5.3 Annual and Intermediate Verification Audits

5.3.1 The Company is responsible for scheduling with the RO the annual and intermediate verifications. Failure to schedule and/or conduct annual or intermediate verifications will be considered a violation of SOLAS Chapter IX, and the DOC and/or SMCs may be suspended or revoked.

5.3.2 The RO may conduct additional audits on the Company and/or vessels if objective evidence justifying such audits is found during the annual audit of the Company SMS, the intermediate audit of a vessel or when directed by the Administration.

5.4 Renewal Audits

Renewal verification audits are to be performed before the validity of the DOC and the SMC expires. Renewal audits may be carried out from six (6) months before the expiry date of the DOC or the SMC and should be completed before the DOC or the SMC expires. Failure to schedule and/or conduct the renewal verification audit will be considered a violation of SOLAS Chapter IX, and the Company's ships may be prevented from trading.

6.0 Pre-Authorization Certificates

6.1 Definition

Pre-authorization certificates are those DOC and SMC certificates issued by an RO before that RO had been authorized by the Administration to perform the Services.

6.2 Conversion

Pre-authorization certificates may be converted to Tuvalu Certificates after the RO verifies compliance with all Tuvalu special instructions or additional National requirements.

7.0 Issue and Validity of Document of Compliance (DOC) and Safety Management Certificate (SMC)

7.1 DOC

7.1.1 A DOC shall be issued to a Company following an initial verification of compliance with the requirements of the ISM Code.

7.1.2 The Company shall make available copies of the DOC to each office location covered by the SMS and each ship.

7.1.3 The period of validity of a DOC shall be five (5) years, subject to annual periodical verification carried out within three (3) months before or after the anniversary date.

7.1.4 A DOC is valid for the types of ships on which the initial verification was based. The list of types of ship shall be indicated in the DOC. The scope of a DOC may be amended to cover an additional type of ship after the verification of the Company's capability to comply with the requirements of the ISM Code for that ship type.

7.2 Interim DOC

7.2.1 For a change of flag State or Company, special transitional arrangements are to be made in accordance with these requirements.

- 7.2.2 An Interim DOC may be issued to facilitate initial implementation of the ISM Code in cases where compliance with the ISM Code is a new requirement or where changes to the Company's organization or its operations have rendered the existing certification inappropriate, for example, where a Company is newly established or where new ship types are added to an existing DOC.
- 7.2.3 An Interim DOC, valid for no more than 12 months may be issued to a Company following a demonstration, at the Company's premises, that the Company has a documented SMS which addresses all elements of the ISM Code, and that plans exist for its implementation throughout the organization and its fleet within the period of validity of the Interim DOC. The progress of such implementation may be reviewed and verified at intervals during the validity of the Interim DOC.
- 7.2.4 If the Company operates a multi-flag fleet and already has a DOC issued by or on the behalf of another flag State that is recognized by this Administration, the RO may issue an Interim DOC valid for a period of up to six (6) months subject to the RO reviewing and verifying to its satisfaction that the Company SMS is in compliance with the ISM Code and National requirements within that period of validity. The Company will be issued a Tuvalu DOC upon satisfactory completion of the review and verification.

7.3 SMC

- 7.3.1 An SMC shall be issued to a ship following an initial verification of compliance with the requirements of the ISM Code.
- 7.3.2 A copy of the SMC shall be available at the Company's head office.
- 7.3.3 The issue of an SMC is conditional upon:
- 1 the existence of a Full-Term DOC (not Interim), valid for that type of ship;
 - 2 the maintenance of compliance with the requirements of a Classification Society meeting the requirements of IMO Resolution A.739(18) or with national regulatory requirements of an Administration which meets requirements equivalent to A.739(18); and
 - 3 the maintenance of valid statutory certificates.
- 7.3.4 The period of validity of a SMC shall not exceed five (5) years, subject to at least one (1) intermediate verification. In certain cases, particularly during the initial period of operation under the SMS, it may be necessary to increase the frequency of the intermediate verification. If only one (1) intermediate verification is carried out, it is to take place between the second and third anniversary date of the issuance of the SMC.

7.4 Interim SMC

7.4.1 Requirements for Issuance

Interim Tuvalu SMCs may be issued to new vessels on delivery, on the occasion of a Company's assumption of responsibility for the operation of a vessel or when a vessel changes flag subject to the following verifications by the RO:

- 1 the DOC, including Interim DOC, of the Company is relevant to the type of vessel;
- 2 the SMS established by the Company includes the essential elements of the ISM Code and has been assessed relevant to the vessel type during the audit for issuance of the relevant DOC;
- 3 the Master and relevant senior officers are familiar with the SMS and the planned arrangements for its implementation;
- 4 operational instructions, which the Company has identified as essential to be provided to the Master prior to the vessel's first voyage under Marshall Islands flag, have, in fact, been given to the Master;
- 5 there are plans for an audit of the vessel by the Company within three (3) months of the issuance of the Interim SMC; and
- 6 the relevant information on the SMS is given in a working language or languages understood by the crew of the vessel.

7.4.2 Multi-Flag Fleets

Each Tuvalu flag vessel in the multi-flag fleet may be issued an Interim SMC valid for a period of up to six (6) months to facilitate implementation of the SMS subject to the provisions of Sections 7.4.3 and 7.4.4 below. Each Tuvalu flag vessel in the multi-flag fleet will be audited by the RO to verify implementation of the SMS and compliance with Tuvalu National requirements. Upon satisfactory completion of the audits, a Tuvalu Full Term SMC will be issued to each Tuvalu flag vessel in the multi-flag fleet.

7.4.3 Documented Vessel Types

A Company holding a valid Tuvalu DOC, which assumes management responsibility for a vessel type already documented in its fleet, may have a six (6) month Interim SMC issued to that ship subject to the ship being verified by the RO as to compliance with the provisions of Section 7.4.1 of these guidelines. The SMS must be fully implemented on board the vessel within that period. Extensions of time up to, but not exceeding, six (6) additional months may be granted by the Administration on a case-by-case basis.

7.4.4 Undocumented Vessel Types

A Company holding a valid Tuvalu DOC which assumes management responsibility for a vessel type new to its fleet, may have a six (6) month Interim SMC issued to that ship, provided the RO verifies that the Company's revised SMS is applicable to that vessel type and the ship is verified in compliance with the provision of Section 7.4.1 of these guidelines. The SMS must be fully implemented in the Company and on board the vessel within that period. Extensions of time up to, but not exceeding, six (6) additional months may be granted by the Administration on a case-by-case basis.

7.4.5 Bareboat Charter Registered Vessels

Bareboat Charter registered vessels shall be subject to the provisions of Section 7.4.3 or 7.4.4 of these guidelines as applicable upon entry into the registry.

8.0 Short-Term Certificates

8.1 Issue and Validity

- 8.1.1 A Short-Term certificate may be issued at the closing of an ISM Code audit to cover the period until a Full-Term certificate is issued.
- 8.1.2 The Short-Term DOC or SMC may be issued provided no major nonconformities remain and the RO auditor formally recommends certification of the Company or vessel.
- 8.1.3 The validity of the Short-Term DOC or SMC, as appropriate, is not to exceed five (5) months from the date of the ISM Code audit.

8.2 Change of Flag Only

- 8.2.1 The RO is authorized to issue a Short-Term SMC to a vessel without an initial verification audit if the following conditions exist:
 - 1 the Company chooses not to undergo a full initial verification audit leading to a new Full-Term 5-year SMC;
 - 2 the Company remains the same and holds a valid Tuvalu DOC;
 - 3 the vessel has a valid SMC, with no major nonconformities open or outstanding;
 - 4 the RO remains the same for the vessel;
 - 5 the crew is predominantly the same, or at the very least, is familiar with the SMS; and
 - 6 a periodical SMS audit aboard the vessel has been satisfactorily completed within the last six (6) months or an annual shipboard internal audit has been reviewed with satisfaction by the RO at the most recent annual Company DOC audit made within that same period.
- 8.2.2 Each vessel shall be handled on a case-by-case basis with the Administration granting the RO authority to issue the Short-Term SMC.
- 8.2.3 If any of the conditions mentioned above have changed with the change of flag, then the vessel must be treated as a new vessel entering the Tuvalu registry.

8.3 Full-Term Certificates

- 8.3.1 Prior to the expiration of Short-Term Certificates, the RO shall issue Full-Term DOC or SMCs to the Company and vessels respectively.
- 8.3.2 The validity of the Full-Term DOC or SMCs, as appropriate, is to be five (5) years from the date of the ISM Code audit.
- 8.3.2 A Full-Term SMC should be issued as soon as possible after the issuance of a Short-Term SMC where an audit has not been required for a change in flag only in accordance with Section 8.2 above, but only for the remaining term of the SMC being replaced.

9.0 Safety Management Auditing

Recognized Organizations shall conduct a systematic and independent examination to determine whether the SMS activities and related results of a Company comply with planned arrangements and whether these arrangements are implemented effectively and are suitable to achieve stated objectives.

9.1 Guidelines

The IACS “Procedural Requirements for ISM Code Certification,” as amended, shall form the basis for developing and implementing audit plans.

9.2 Audit Objectives

Audits shall be designed to achieve at least one (1) or more of the following purposes:

- 1 to determine whether a Company’s SMS elements conform to the ISM Code;
- 2 to determine the effectiveness of the implemented SMS toward meeting the safety and environmental objectives specified in Section 1.2 of the ISM Code;
- 3 to provide the Company with the opportunity to improve upon its SMS; and
- 4 to meet applicable National requirements.

9.3 Audit Activities

While acting in an ethical manner at all times and objectively remaining within the audit scope, an RO’s audit activities should consist of, among other things, the following:

1. complying with RO’s applicable written auditing procedures and other directives;
2. planning the audit and preparing working documents;

3. reviewing documentation on existing SMS activities to determine their adequacy;
4. collecting and analyzing objective evidence that is relevant and sufficient to permit conclusions to be reached regarding the Company SMS;
5. remaining alert to any indications of objective evidence that can influence the audit results and possibly require more extensive auditing;
6. clearly reporting to the Company audit results on a timely basis; and
7. reporting major nonconformities immediately to the Company and promptly to the Administration.

9.4 Objective Evidence

Objective evidence shall consist of quantitative or qualitative information, records or statements of fact pertaining to safety or to the existence and implementation of an SMS element, which is based on observation, measurement or test and which can be verified.

9.5 Document Review

- 9.5.1 As a basis for planning an audit, an RO shall review for adequacy the Company's recorded description of its methods for meeting the SMS requirements.
- 9.5.2 No further auditing shall be conducted if the review reveals that the SMS described in the documentation by the Company is not adequate to meet the requirements of the ISM Code until such time as all nonconformities are resolved to the satisfaction of the RO.

9.6 Audit Plans

- 9.6.1 An audit plan shall be prepared by the RO in consultation with the Company.
- 9.6.2 The RO shall design the audit plan to be flexible in order to permit changes in emphasis based on information gathered during the audit process and to permit effective use of the RO's resources. The plan is to be approved by the Company and communicated to those planning to be involved in the audit.
- 9.6.3 The audit plan shall include the following elements:
 - 1 the audit objectives and scope;
 - 2 identification of the individuals having significant direct responsibilities regarding the objectives and scope;
 - 3 identification of reference documents such as the applicable international codes and the Company's SMS;
 - 4 identification of audit team members;

- 5 audit team assignments;
- 6 the language of the audit;
- 7 dates and places where audit is to be conducted;
- 8 identification of the organizational units of the Company to be audited;
- 9 the expected time and duration for each major audit activity;
- 10 the schedule of meetings to be held with the Company; and
- 11 confidentiality requirements, if any.

9.6.4 Upon request, the audit plan shall be provided to the Administration for its review.

10.0 Nonconformities

10.1 Nonconformity

10.1.1 Nonconformities are those deviations from the requirements of the ISM Code, the Administration and/or the documented SMS of a Company that pose a low level of risk to the vessel's safety, protection of the environment or integrity of the SMS. Nonconformities shall include observed situations where objective evidence indicates a minor nonfulfillment of a specified requirement that has been determined by the RO not to affect the ability of the management of the Company nor any of its vessels from achieving the objectives of the ISM Code.

10.1.2 Corrective action for nonconformities shall be completed within a time period agreed to between the RO and the Company, which shall not exceed three (3) months from the date of issuance of a nonconformity notice. The RO shall confirm that the Company and/or Vessel has determined and initiated appropriate corrective action to correct the nonconformities or to correct the root causes of the nonconformities.

10.1.3 The RO shall advise the Administration of corrective actions requiring more than three (3) months and obtain approval for such extended time periods, as determined by the RO to be required, from the Administration.

10.2 Major Nonconformity

10.2.1 A major nonconformity shall mean an identifiable deviation, which poses a serious threat to personnel or vessel safety or serious risk to the environment and requiring immediate corrective action. In addition, major nonconformities shall include the lack of effective

and systematic implementation of the requirements of the ISM Code. Examples of specific major nonconformities are:

1. Operational shortcomings that would render the ship substandard by IMO standards.
 2. Ship hull/machinery damage, wastage or malfunction as a consequence of systemic faults in the SMS that would warrant a recommendation to withdraw a statutory certificate or suspension of the ship from class.
 3. Absence of required Tuvalu licensed officers and or certified ratings as required by the Merchant Shipping Act, Tuvalu Minimum Safe Manning Certification and the International Convention on Standards of Training, Certification and Watchkeeping, unless the Master is in possession of a valid exemption from the Administration.
 4. Discovery by the RO that there are outstanding requirements on the statutory safety certificates which, as yet, have not been dealt with within the time permitted as a result of systemic faults in the SMS.
 5. Observation of an obvious safety or environmental violation during an audit, which has not been or is not being corrected or documented.
 6. Observation of obvious violations of the applicable ILO Convention requirements that have not been documented as waived or exempted.
 7. Objective evidence of flagrant violations of the Tuvalu Safety or Pollution Prevention Laws, Tuvalu Merchant Shipping Regulations and Marine Notices is found, observed or brought to the attention of the RO.
 8. Discovery by the RO auditors that ship personnel or the Company management are not aware of or have not been instructed in the provisions of the ISM Code and the SMS as well as the importance of the DOC and SMCs.
 9. The total absence of a required SMS element or a group of nonconformities within an element.
- 10.2.2 Any major nonconformities found by the RO in the course of an audit shall be reported in writing to the Company, the Master of the vessel involved and to the Administration. Neither the DOC nor the SMC will be issued during the initial audit until all major nonconformities are corrected.
- 10.2.3 The RO shall determine the nature and extent of major nonconformities found during intermediate, renewal or additional audits and recommend to the Administration not to issue the DOC or SMC, or the issuance of a time specific Interim DOC or SMC to allow for the completion of corrective action, or withdrawal of an existing DOC or SMC.

11.0 Certificate Withdrawal and Invalidation

11.1 Withdrawing Authority

Certificates may only be withdrawn by the Administration or by an RO when authorized to do so by the Administration.

11.1.1 DOC Withdrawal

In this case the RO shall immediately notify the Company and, when acting on behalf of an Administration, the Administration. When the RO is authorized by the Administration to withdraw the DOC, the RO shall give a letter to the Company stating that the DOC is withdrawn from the date of signature of the letter, and request that the DOC be surrendered. A copy of the letter shall be immediately sent by the most expedient means to the Administration. The Company shall be required to immediately notify appropriate ships that the DOC is invalid.

11.1.2 SMC Withdrawal

When the RO is authorized by the Administration to withdraw the SMC, the RO shall immediately notify the Company, give a letter to the Master of the ship stating that the SMC is withdrawn from the date of signature of the letter, and request that the SMC be surrendered. A copy of the letter shall be immediately sent by the most expedient means to the Administration.

11.2 Reasons for Withdrawal

1. failure to conduct periodic or intermediate verification audits;
2. the Company's failure to fully implement its SMS;
3. the Company's failure to maintain its SMS in substantial compliance with the requirements of the ISM Code;
4. non-conformities that remain uncorrected beyond their due date; and
5. the recommendation of the RO based upon objective evidence of the existence of a major nonconformity or an ineffective SMS.

11.3 Invalidation of SMCs

Withdrawal of the Company DOC invalidates the SMCs of all related vessels. Should the Administration authorize the RO to withdraw the Company DOC, the SMCs of all related vessels shall also be withdrawn by the RO.

11.4 Issuance or Reinstatement of Certificates

Issuance or reinstatement of a DOC and/or SMCs, as the case may be, which have been withheld or withdrawn as the result of major nonconformities shall only occur after the RO confirms to the Administration that there has been closure to the satisfaction of the RO on all outstanding nonconformities as verified by additional audit.

12.0 Appeals

In the event a Company disagrees with a determination made by the RO, the Company, through its designated person, after exhausting the RO appeals procedures, may make a direct appeal to the Administration which will then make a final determination based upon both the substance of the appeal and the recommendations of the RO.

ANNEX 1 – Recognized Organizations

Recognized Organizations authorized to verify compliance with the ISM Code and issue ISM Code Documents of Compliance and Safety Management Certificates on behalf of The Government of Tuvalu

ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS	CONTACT	TEL/FAX
AMERICAN BUREAU OF SHIPPING (ABS)	ABS Europe P.O. Box 24860 Dubai United Arab Emirates	Mr. Steve Blair, Division Head, SESC	Tel: +971-4-3520371 Fax: +971-4-3555358 E-mail: sblair@eagle.org
	ABS Pacific 438 Alexandra Road #10-00 Alexandra Point Singapore 119958	Mr. Hemant Juneja, Division Head, SESC	Tel: +65 371 2696 Fax: +65 275 0258 E-mail: hjuneja@eagle.org
	ABS Americas 16855 Northchase Dr. Houston, Texas 77060	Maurice Kelleher, Division Head, SESC	Tel: +1-281 877 6149 Fax: +1-281 877 5932 E-mail: mkelleher@eagle.org
BUREAU VERITAS (BV)	Bureau Veritas North America, Inc. 1850 Eller Drive Suite 201 Port Everglades, Florida 33316	Mr. Francois Teissier	Tel: +1-954-328-7954 Fax: +1-954-763 9718 E-mail: francois.teissier@us.bureauveritas.com
DET NORSKE VERITAS (DNV)	DNV DIRECTORY or Det Norske Veritas MTPNO863 Veritasveien 1 1322 Høvik NORWAY	Nearest DNV station as appears in the DNV Directory (www.dnv.com) or Oivind Braten	Tel: +47 67 57 9900 Fax: +47 67 57 9911 E-mail: MTPNO863@dnv.com
GERMANISCHE R LLOYD (GL)	Germanischer Lloyd Head Office Competence Center Fleet Services Marine Management Certification Services PO Box 11 16 06 20416 Hamburg GERMANY	Mr. Oliver Darley (Head of Marine Management Certification Services Department)	Tel: +49 40 361 49 104 Fax: +49 40 361 49 1702 (direct line) E-mail: dly@germanlloyd.org Tlx: 212828 glhh d Cable: klassenlloyd hamburg

ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS	CONTACT	TEL/FAX
KOREAN REGISTER OF SHIPPING (KRS)	Quality Assurance Center Korean Register of Shipping Yusung PO Box 29 Daejeon 305-600 REPUBLIC OF KOREA	Mr. Moon-kyu Park, Manager	Tel: +82-42-869-9360 Fax: +82-42-862-6039 E-Mail: mkpark@krs.co.kr
LLOYD'S REGISTER OF SHIPPING (LRS)	Lloyd's Register of Shipping Marine Management Systems 71 Fenchurch Street London EC3M 4BS UNITED KINGDOM	Captain Andrew Mitchell (Corporate contact for ISM matters world-wide)	Tel: +44 20 7423 2953 Mobile: +44 7771 644178 Fax: +44 20 7423 2951 E-mail: andrew.mitchell@lr.org
	Lloyd's Register Americas, Inc. 1401 Enclave Parkway Suite 200 Houston, Texas 77077	Alistair Bilisland (Senior contact in the Americas)	Tel: +1-281-675-3118 Fax: +1-281-675-3144 E-mail: alistair.bilisland@lr.org
NIPPON KAIJI KYOKAI (NKK)	Safety Management System Department 1-8-5 Ohnodai Midori-ku Chiba 267-0056 JAPAN	Mr. Moritoshi Homma	Tel: +81-43-294-5999 Fax: +81-43-294-7206 E-mail: smd@classnk.or.jp
REGISTRO ITALIANO NAVALE (RINA)	Safety Management System Department Registro Italiano Navale Via Corsica 12 16128 Genova ITALY	Mr. Marco Robbiano Head of Ship Management Section	Tel: +39 10 5385 356 Fax: +39 010 5351369 E-mail: Marco.Robbiano@rina.org
	Registro Italiano Navale 515 East Las Olas Blvd. Suite 1060 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301-2268	Mr. Fortunato Sulfaro Area Manager	Tel: +1-954 838 0408 Fax: +1-954 838 0409 E-mail: Fortunato.Sulfaro@rina.org
RUSSIAN MARITIME REGISTER OF SHIPPING (RMRS)	8. Dvortsovaya Naberezhnaya St. Petersburg RUSSIA	Mr. A.S. Mikhailov Head of ISM Certification Department	Tel/Fax: +7 812 314 06 79 E-mail: 025@rs-head.spb.ru